

# "WOODS STAMPED CONDITIONALLY SATISFACTORY"

by Cindy Shogan

The Cafeteria and the Snack Bar each received a rating of Conditionally Satisfactory Sept. 29 from the New Jersey State Department of Health. The Health Department examined the Cafeteria in response to a complaint made by a student against Woods, specifically: a hair found in the food, unsatisfactory rotation of stock, and failure of the management to post "Handwash" signs in the facilities.

According to the Inspection Report, Woods Inc. violated approximately 23 regulations in the areas of food equipment, utensils, sanitary facilities and food protection. In the Main Kitchen, toxic chemicals, cleaning agents and lotions were stored above or adjacent to the food contact areas. Fifty pounds of chopped meat was destroyed because it was being held at a dangerous temperature of 60° F. In the Garbage and Line Service area, numerous small dead and live flies were found on the floor and in a glass of yellow liquid. Other violations included rusty and dirty equipment, overhandling of food and the failure of the employees' hats to control their hair.

Manager Larry Bausch said that everything possible is being done to amend the violations. Most of the necessary improvements will take time due to the contracts and equipment needed to make some of the repairs. At a recent Food Service Committee Meeting Larry said his main concern was "up front," to get things geared for the new semester, and as a result... "I got nailed on Cleanliness." Prior to the Health Department's Inspection, Woods managers had asked a representative from the Madison Health Department to speak to the employees. At the General Meeting required of all the workers, the Madison representative answered questions, and described Woods' violations. He stressed that not only the managers, but the employees, should be aware of unsatisfactory conditions and all should work together to alleviate them.

Unlike the Cafeteria, the Snack Bar's



rating did not involve any violations of food handling, personnel or food supplies. According to Manager Jack Stern, who was not present for the inspection, he was pleased that the violations did not concern food, but problems which could be fixed by minor repairs, most of which have been done already. The violations against the Snack Bar include: insufficient covering on the ice cream freezer, no thermometers in the refrigerator, and lack of a device on the water line to prevent a possible backflow. In the storage room the exit door was not tight enough and there should not have been anything stored on the floor. Another violation was inadequate storage space, due to the presence of an inoperable dishwasher which the University will not remove.

On October 13th, both Woods and the Snack Bar will be re-examined by the Health Department unless otherwise requested by the University. According to Mr. Ed Burbank from the Health Department, there is a limit of three Conditionally Satisfactories, at which point the establishment is fined until the conditions are found acceptable.

## ECAB Corrects Budget Error

The Extra - Curricular - Activities - Board met, Tuesday afternoon and after being informed of a large computation error in the original SGA budget proposal, decided to redistribute their money among the two supervisory boards: Communications Board and Student Government Association.

Com Board's budget was changed to \$32,494.00. SGA's was changed to \$37,568.00. \$4,200.50 was left in the ECAB reserve to meet any emergencies and deficit spending that might occur later in the year.

The question of redistributing the money resulted in bitter debate between Com Board and SGA representatives. SGA argued that it needed some sort of compensation for the error if its subcommittees (Social Committee, Hyera, International Students, and others) were going to be able to "function in any effective manner."

Com Board representatives said that they understood the SGA situation, but felt that the budget they had been given last week was the absolute lowest possible that could still meet "minimal operational costs. In fact," they added, "any decrease in this figure could seriously threaten productivity of the Com Board subgroups (Acorn, WERD, Oak Leaves, Plateau, Photo Club)."

After a long and tiresome debate, the

ECAB decided to take \$300 from their reserve and \$300 from the Com Board, and put it towards a new SGA budget. This resulted in the final figures that will stand for the rest of the year.

In reviewing this desperate and confusing situation, ECAB chairman John Hambright felt that "changes will have to be made very soon if either of the two boards are going to function at all next year. 'However,' he added, 'where these changes will come from remains to be seen.'"



Scott McDonald

## Steinberg Cancels Bromberg now billed with Chapin

David Steinberg was forced to cancel his appearance at Drew. David Bromberg who was enthusiastically welcomed at Drew two years ago will replace Steinberg. Because Bromberg had another engagement cancelled the last minute he offered to appear for half his normal fee.

Concert times are 7:00 and 10:00.

## Revenue and Responsibility Drew's Investment Policies

by Karen Price

Huge, multi-million dollar corporations and their dominant role in society has long been a subject of controversy within the society. Each one of us is in some way, directly or indirectly, affected by the decisions and policies of these corporations, and we should therefore at the very least make some effort to become aware of the issues and how they are being handled.

Drew University has investments in a number of major corporations such as Gulf, Exxon, Standard Oil, A.T.&T., General Electric, I.B.M., Kodak, Ford, and G.M. Together with the financial concerns the University has in these investments, many students feel there should also be an effort to take on a certain amount of investor responsibility dealing with social and ethical concerns.

As noted in the September 1975 special report put out by the Investor Responsibility Research Center, Inc. (IRRC), "most of the universities have set up advisory committees on shareholder responsibility with administration, faculty, student and alumni representatives. Some of these committees have voting power, but most pass on to university trustees their recommendations for voting and for letters to management. Although no university said that its trustees are bound by the recommendations of the advisory committee, almost all noted that the trustees generally follow the advice given to them. Harvard, for instance, said that this year its Corporation Committee on Shareholder Responsibility either voted the way its Advisory Committee on Shareholder Responsibility recommended or abstained from voting, but it did not vote in direct opposition to any of the advisory committee's recommendations."

The voting referred to in the preceding paragraph is the voting on shareholder resolutions, which are statements involving particular issues that are drafted and sponsored by individual shareholders. The shareholders are then sent proxies in order

that they may vote on each of the resolutions. An example of a 1975 resolution for General Electric, sponsored by clergy and laity concerned, requested the disclosure of information relating to the impact of the B-1 Bomber on employment levels. Another was a resolution for Exxon that would require disclosure of past political contributions.

For the past few years, Drew has not been



John Pepin

filling out these proxies, because no one has been studying the issues. As Vice President McDonald noted, "The Board of Trustees must look at their own responsibility, which is the financial situation at Drew. They are concerned about the policy issues of the companies, but they are unable to study the issues." The Trustees have set up a subcommittee to accept advice from those concerned, but no one has come up with any recommendations for the past two years. Therefore, the proxies are not being returned, which is the equivalent to a vote for management.

John L. Pepin, advisor to the President and recently retired as vice president and treasurer, stated that "The Board of Trustees has indicated that any student or faculty group could study the matter." McDonald also commented that the Trustees would welcome student concern and research into the issues.

The IRRC publishes "News for Investors," which is a monthly report on the investors, corporations, public interest advocates, and government agencies that make and affect decisions on private sector responsibility. There are large quantities of this IRRC material available. As Mr. Pepin advised, an interested group of students should zero in on 3 or 4 of the most important issues covered in the IRRC, and confront the Board of Trustees with their findings. The Trustees would be most willing to listen to such advice, and would be likely to act on it if the opinions had a strong, factual basis.